

# Agenda

## WSDOT AGC CECW Design Build Team

10/25/2001  
2 Hours  
AGC - Tacoma

Type of meeting:

Attendees:

### Agenda topics

10	Welcome and Introductions	Kevin Dayton
10	WSDOT Design/Build Overview	Jeff Carpenter
15	Team goal / Ground Rules	Max/Jeff
15	SR 500 - Thurston Way I/C	Max Kuney
15	I-405 / Urban Corridors	Kim Henry
10	Design/Build Issues	Jeff Carpenter
15	WSDOT Design QC oversight role	Group Discussion
15	WSDOT Construction QC role	Group Discussion
15	What is a change on a design/build project	Group Discussion

Observers:

Resource persons:

# Agenda

## WSDOT AGC CECW Design Build Team

10/25/2001  
2 Hours  
AGC - Tacoma

Type of meeting:

Attendees:

### Agenda topics

10

Welcome and Introductions

Kevin Dayton

Discussion: Kevin and/or approved equal WSDOT boss will greet the team. "We value your efforts" etc.

Conclusions:

Action items:

Person responsible:

Deadline:

10	WSDOT Design/Build Overview	Jeff Carpenter
Discussion: Jeff will give a brief spiel about design/build in WSDOT. What the legislation allows. Where WSDOT is at with design build (1 pilot ongoing, mega projects in future, current law budget)		
Conclusions:		
Action items:	Person responsible:	Deadline:
15	Team goal / Ground Rules	Max/Jeff
Discussion: This is our chance to discuss what it is that this team wants to produce. WSDOT currently has a guidebook in hand. It is being modified as issues arise but industry has a chance to review and recommend modifications as necessary to make the design/build environment a more profit friendly one.		
Directions possible include: Laid back, hit them with the broad issues		
Guidebook specific, issues/modifications to guidebook can be discussed		
Project specific, RFP development/comments		
Combination thereof		
The direction the team chooses will have a large function as to what duration the team will last for.		
Conclusions:		
Action items:	Person responsible:	Deadline:

15	SR 500 - Thurston Way I/C	Max Kuney
Discussion: Max can give his summary of the Thurston Way I/C. He can be as honest as he is willing in regard to what Kuney expected vs. what they are experiencing. Where the contract as written is delaying/hampering him versus where WSDOT might consider tightening (if any)		
Conclusions:		
Action items:	Person responsible:	Deadline:
15	I-405 / Urban Corridors	Kim Henry
Discussion: Kim Henry can give a brief summary of the I-405 mega project as well as the Urban Corridors project. Most of the CECW representatives will likely be familiar with it but the AGC representatives may not be.		
Conclusions:		
Action items:	Person responsible:	Deadline:

10	Design/Build Issues	Jeff Carpenter
<p>Discussion: WSDOT is maintaining a database of any and all issues arising on the SR 500 project. This database is kept current on WSDOT's design-build website (<a href="http://www.wsdot.wa.gov/DesignBuild/DB/">http://www.wsdot.wa.gov/DesignBuild/DB/</a>). These issues are being dealt with by WSDOT's DB technical team in re-writing portions of the guidebook.</p> <p>Question: The current WSDOT guidebook is sort of half-re-written right now. Should I bring copies of the "current" one anyway for reference?</p>		
Conclusions:		
Action items:	Person responsible:	Deadline:
15	WSDOT Design QC oversight role	Group Discussion
<p>Discussion: WSDOT is currently reviewing all design submittals. Is this workable on a larger project? How could WSDOT ensure quality without being in the loop? Separate QA design firm? Ideas?</p>		
Conclusions:		
Action items:	Person responsible:	Deadline:

15	WSDOT Construction QC role	Group Discussion	
<p>Discussion: WSDOT currently maintains inspectors in the field for a second level QA. The system as currently in place has room for improvement. How can WSDOT ensure construction quality and keep FHWA happy? Trust won't cut it. A separate/shared inspection QA firm?</p>			
<p>Conclusions:</p>			
<p>Action items:</p>			
		Person responsible:	Deadline:
15	What is a change on a design/build project	Group Discussion	
<p>Discussion: How binding should the BAFP be? Conceptual? Fully? What is WSDOT identified betterments officially prior to execution? How can WSDOT provide the design/builder maximum flexibility while still ensuring it received the "best value" project promised?</p>			
<p>Conclusions:</p>			
<p>Action items:</p>			
		Person responsible:	Deadline:
<p><b>Observers:</b></p> <p><b>Resource persons:</b></p>			